

Mallard Pass_ISH2_13 July_PT6

Created on: 2023-07-13 12:37:29

Project Length: 01:41:10

File Name: Mallard Pass_ISH2_13 July_PT6

File Length: 01:41:10

FULL TRANSCRIPT (with timecode)

00:00:05:12 - 00:00:20:15

Okay, it's 1140 and we will proceed with agenda item number ten socioeconomics, particularly in relation to permissive paths.

00:00:23:06 - 00:00:59:05

Several concerns have been raised in written representations and local reports that the proposed permissive paths will not be secured over the lifetime of the development and may potentially be withdrawn at any time by the landowners. And note the applicant's response Deadline 33022, which suggests that that would not be the case and that they would be secured by requirement seven and 12 the and the limp. And could the applicant just briefly confirm position, please, and on their approach to it.

00:01:02:06 - 00:01:30:29

This talks about the applicant. I don't think there's much more I can say really. Per requirements. Seven two. I thought that the final must show the final routing specification and maintenance regime for each permissive path. Our outline includes our commitment to it and paragraph four of the requirement refers to us having to implement and maintain throughout the operation or the development the measures in the plan. Um.

00:01:32:14 - 00:01:34:16

So it's the most definitely secured.

00:01:36:13 - 00:02:03:00

Thank you. Also note the updated lamp rep 314 is also now got amendments in there to make specific reference to the maintenance regime of the paths. And would you be able to please just elaborate on that and also to confirm whether or not that would consider the varying needs of maintenance during different seasons, recognizing that there may be different requirements during the winter, for instance.

00:02:08:27 - 00:02:09:12

So.

00:02:10:23 - 00:02:11:08

Look.

00:02:14:06 - 00:02:25:14

But think the the detailed lab is under the requirement. Suppose to set out the maintenance regime

00:02:27:06 - 00:02:40:07

for the maintenance of the lamp generally, but as per the requirements, specifically the maintenance regime for each permissive path. And so setting out my regime would include for seasons.

00:02:43:21 - 00:02:44:18

Okay. Thank you.

00:02:45:23 - 00:02:46:08

Um.

00:02:47:03 - 00:02:47:26

Mrs. Holloway.

00:02:49:22 - 00:03:21:11

This is all away from a low price action group. Just going back to the first point in terms of protecting the permissive pass for the duration of the proposed development. If the proposed development were to go beyond 20 years, as I understand it, with Footpath and actually probably my local authority colleagues will probably be able to help me out on this. But if you don't withdraw within 20 years, it will then become a fully fledged footpath.

00:03:21:13 - 00:03:45:00

So most landowners take away the permissive right before 20 years so that it doesn't become a permanent footpath. So wonder how they can make provision for that when that probably sits outside of a DCO as a standard footpath criteria. But as I say, maybe my local authority colleagues can clarify that as well. Thank you.

00:03:46:26 - 00:03:52:00

Thank you. Local authorities like to come back in that Mr.. Mr.. Johnson or.

00:03:58:24 - 00:03:59:14

Thank you, sir.

00:04:00:07 - 00:04:13:27

Julie Smith, Rutland County Council Highways. The public rights of way officer isn't in attendance, so he'd be able to go into great detail about this. But essentially, um,

00:04:15:21 - 00:04:37:16

what the Mallard Action Group have just explained is correct. Basically, you know, it can get withdrawn. It all the route could get withdrawn. It's a completely separate legislation. Once the 20 year mark gets hit. So I'm not sure that that can be dealt with under the DCO.

00:04:39:18 - 00:05:16:02

Mr. Fox. Mr. Fox, Um, first of all, well, I'll answer that question first. So firstly, um, the 20 years pursuant to Highways Act in common law, um, you have to be able to demonstrate that it has been, it has access that is done by the public in the context that the and the plans are very clear that it's the permissive path and that we put signage that you often see in, particularly in urban environments, that make it clear that ways that are private and not a public right of way.

00:05:16:11 - 00:05:57:00

Um, the, the section of the highways escapes me right now. I think 131 off the top of my head. Um, that sets out that you can essentially put up signage to make it clear that it is not a public right of way. Um, the kind of extreme example of someone completely taking away a permissive mouth is not in contemplation here because I'd say you can put signage up and make it very clear, um, that it's not a public right of way. Um, this is something that is done in their management all the time on an agricultural and urban environments, um, and does not involve taking it away, especially in the commitments that we have in the DTA.

00:05:57:19 - 00:06:43:25

Um, and I've just reminded myself also in the, um, going back to your first question, sir, about the maintenance in line three version of the lamp in appendix one, um, that does talk about general maintenance and monitoring across annually every year. Um, so that covers your previous question. Um, but in terms of the 20 years there was a requirement and you can put measures in place to prevent it becoming seen as a public right of way and think it's worth, um, just coming on to that point, um, if the RPAs and others have asked a question about why these permitted paths can't be bothered right away, and notwithstanding that 20 year dedication point, um, and think we're clear that the scheme um.

00:06:46:03 - 00:07:07:06

Will will need to be removed. And at that point, this is public rights of way. The land will return back to agricultural land. So it's not appropriate to impose a public right of way. And the missing parts are being seen as essentially an enhancement in the community benefit of the scheme. We're not seeking here to create new public rights of way.

00:07:09:06 - 00:07:10:05

And. Yes.

00:07:12:00 - 00:07:12:24

Sorry, sir, but.

00:07:13:00 - 00:07:38:24

Bankrupt landscape architect on behalf of the applicant. Just to pick on the pick up on the point that Mr. Fox makes. Just to be very clear, these permissive paths. We see them as a benefit. They are enhancement to the network. They are a recreational opportunity that does not currently exist and would not exist without proposed development. So they're not needed to mitigate any potential harmful impacts. They are a genuine spatial benefit that project seeks to deliver.

00:07:39:25 - 00:07:41:24

Thank you, sir. Thank you.

00:07:44:23 - 00:07:55:21

What? Um. Either of Lancashire County Council or South Kesteven District Council like to comment on this matter in relation to particularly the extent to.

00:07:55:23 - 00:07:56:08

Which.

00:07:58:07 - 00:08:04:20

The premises secured by requirement. Is there sufficient comfort there that we've we've been given?

00:08:07:15 - 00:08:10:09

Phil Jordan for South Staffordshire Council.

00:08:12:08 - 00:08:29:00

I think, understand and accept what's been said about how the permissive paths would be secured for the lifetime of the project. And I'd probably defer to colleagues at Lancashire County Council for any countryside team for any.

00:08:29:02 - 00:08:29:17

Further.

00:08:29:26 - 00:08:38:28

Comments in that respect, and likewise think it's the next point under this topic. To what extent that is that a benefit?

00:08:41:08 - 00:08:52:04

I think that would be a view perhaps for the local residents to, you know, articulate how much they would see this as a benefit to the scheme and.

00:08:52:06 - 00:08:52:21

The.

00:08:53:04 - 00:08:55:01

Context of it being a.

00:08:56:25 - 00:09:01:09

But it is a permissive path additional to what's there at the moment. But it would run through.

00:09:02:11 - 00:09:02:26

A.

00:09:02:28 - 00:09:04:18

Developed solar farm area.

00:09:07:09 - 00:09:10:21

Thank you, Mr. Jordan. We have a hand online as well.

00:09:16:06 - 00:10:03:26

Andy Savage, Lincolnshire County Council, A public rights of way. I think it's fair to say that given the duration or potential duration of the solar farm, that the the routes that we're talking about would very much be taken into the hearts of the local community and it would therefore be really undesirable to see at the end of the the solar farm period where it's on site that the routes are taken away.

Therefore, the County Council considers it sensible to um, to safeguard the routes for the future and dedicate them as public rights of way so they can be part of a management regime, including the right of way improvement plan and so on going forward.

00:10:07:10 - 00:10:11:09

Thank you, Mr. Savage and Mrs. Christie as well.

00:10:13:08 - 00:10:15:00

Yeah. Hello? It's Joe Christie again.

00:10:15:02 - 00:10:15:17

Not.

00:10:15:25 - 00:10:17:07

Mrs. Christie. I'm just using her.

00:10:17:09 - 00:10:18:12

Oh, she's the number.

00:10:18:14 - 00:10:19:24

No, that's fine. You want to know?

00:10:20:25 - 00:11:08:04

Um, just so on one of the points about permissive paths, one of the main benefits for landowners is that they can be shut easily for maintenance purposes, operations and things like that. Whereas a public right of way, um, obviously the landowner has to go to the local Highways Authority to get a temporary closure order. Um, it can be a lot more difficult. And because the Highway Authority will inspect the reasons for it, um, it's important in this case that conditions would be placed on the many permissive rights of way that, um, they're not closed for maintenance purposes or for other operational purposes except for good reason.

00:11:08:06 - 00:11:42:20

And they permission is sort of a local highway authority to do so because it would be personally possible for the landowner or the developer to shut it for an unreasonable length of time just because it was inconvenient, um, looking 20 years down the line. So I think it's important that conditions are attached with regard to temporary closures, that they are only applied, um, through a, um, consent with the local highway authority as it would be the case for a public right of way.

00:11:45:13 - 00:12:01:01

Thank you, Mr. Grady. Mr. Fox, if you could come back on that point, please. In terms of the process of control over which the closure of permissive paths would be will be managed as Mr. Graffitis view that correct?

00:12:02:19 - 00:12:10:26

Mr.. Well, there's no there is no proposal which temporary closed them because they're being put in place after the scheme. It felt.

00:12:14:04 - 00:12:16:01

Eventually managing the land in the same way.

00:12:16:03 - 00:12:21:11

Would there be a scenario in the future whereby such a situation may arise that would perhaps then be uncontrolled?

00:12:22:07 - 00:12:23:17

Mean. Don't.

00:12:25:29 - 00:12:32:26

We'll take this away. Don't. We? Could look to put in wording in the air if we need to, to provide clarity or not.

00:12:33:04 - 00:12:46:07

Thank you. Yes, we welcome consideration of that. And think know your position on whether or not the permit should be kept open beyond the operational life. Which think is that your view is that shouldn't be the case? Is that correct? Yes.

00:12:46:09 - 00:13:11:22

Yes, sir. Not least because at that point the scheme is not there anymore. So it returns to the landowners and their agricultural use. And if we can briefly just come in on the point about there was a query there from the of whether it's a benefit or not. And I think this comes back to some of the discussions we were having on Tuesday. I think in that we are providing these routes and the benefit, the routes are provided for people to use.

00:13:12:28 - 00:13:13:13

And.

00:13:15:12 - 00:13:45:17

Thank you, sir. Thank bank group for the for the applicant. Just to pick up on the point that Mr. Jordan raises a backpack, the roots and draw your attention to the strategy plan. That's at one 37in terms of just their location and and links that they provide. But it's our view that the routes will pass through some of the solar development in places, but there will be other areas where they don't. And I'd also draw your attention to Rep 3037 in terms of the network.

00:13:45:19 - 00:14:14:27

So the intention has been very much to connect up the network, provide off road routes where possible, and improve, as Mr. Fox says, enhance the overall network and connectivity, and the particular case being that the West Glen River route that we proposed currently in an area not accessible to the public, there is no public access along that river corridor. So that that would be a new route, that two countryside that is currently inaccessible at this present time. Thank you, sir.

00:14:15:09 - 00:14:53:05

Thank you. That's just bring me on to my next question, which was to just query the extent to which they can be considered beneficial and given that some of them may also experience some of the visual noise effects arising from the developments. And we've heard from various interested parties that they enjoy the countryside, openness, tranquility, and that provides including the access to the right of way network. Um, and there is a view that perhaps manipulative path would experience some of those same effects that may be harmful to the public rights of way that are there at the moment.

00:14:53:18 - 00:14:56:24

And could you please just comment on on that at all?

00:14:59:29 - 00:15:30:12

Sorry, Mr. Fox. That will be Mr. Cruz In think on the noise point you had, we had to say on that yesterday, and we'll follow that up in writing, but I would hesitate to call that any kind of effect in terms of in terms of noise, particularly the routes around the edge of the the routes being around the edge of the solar farm and therefore even further distance away from the activities that could even be close to being considered noisy.

00:15:31:00 - 00:16:04:06

And we would argue that we shouldn't be considered noisy in the kind of environmental sense of the word. And then from a visual impact, think this comes back to the point I was trying to say we're providing and improve network through the scheme. People will make their choices about whether they can accept seeing Solar Farm along the route of that and think that, you know, some people in this room will have different views from people who are not in this room in terms of the experience that they're willing to accept when going for a walk.

00:16:06:21 - 00:16:25:01

Thank you, Mr. Fox. On the noise point, just remind me, I think yesterday there was a figure of 55dB that was quoted, which was around the volume or just below of a conversation, which I think was adjacent to the solar stations or in close proximity to was.

00:16:25:03 - 00:16:27:10

From the substation, from.

00:16:27:12 - 00:16:30:19

The substation. Apologies. And do we know

00:16:32:09 - 00:16:42:18

from the submission so far noise volumes, um, adjacent to the permissive perhaps, and rights of ways that information is available.

00:16:45:17 - 00:16:49:06

Mr. Fox. I think we'll have to say that we. Thank you.

00:16:52:09 - 00:17:23:27

Sorry, sir, could just come in. In terms of your second point of potential? Well, I think the point is that, as we've said, the past don't exist at the moment. Therefore, they're not impacted. Essentially, this is benefit to the result of the development. But in terms of just understanding the visual or recreational amenity of those routes. I draw your attention, sir, to to rep 2038, which is the the photo montage that was produced for the existing Bridleway. So in order to just understand some of the visual

00:17:25:15 - 00:17:58:28

characteristics of of the proposed permissive paths which adopt the same mitigation or design principles, I should say, in terms of the 15 metre offset planting photo montage is provides a useful kind of indication of what the visual amenity would be, albeit for an existing public right of way, not not a proposed one. I guess the other point to mention is that there are controls within the dash as well in terms of the detailed design of these principles that we should seek are seeking to adopt.

00:17:59:27 - 00:18:07:20

So there are further controls that will look at the detailed design of that, the proposed permissive paths. Thank you.

00:18:09:12 - 00:18:15:26

Do we have any further points on this issue that anyone would like to to raise?

00:18:17:18 - 00:18:18:13

This is Holloway.

00:18:20:03 - 00:18:58:14

This is all away from my low pass action group. Think obviously the action group talked to a lot of people a lot of the time because that's what it's about, trying to understand what their feelings and opinions are on things and think. We can quite conclusively say that the permissive paths do not mitigate the effects of the development. As far as people are concerned. It will not provide a pleasurable experience for people in our rep. Rep 1-016 We outlined the likely field parcels that you would see from the permissive pass.

00:18:58:16 - 00:19:52:27

So we went through each permissive path and identified the field passes that you're likely to see, and in most cases you're quite heavily either adjacent or surrounded by the solar panels on either side, which is really quite a, uh, almost sort of claustrophobic feel in some situations. Um, I think there are there could be some concerns as well with respect to the West Glen River. Um, if as the applicant looks like they want to sort of slightly commercialize the permissive pass in terms of putting up interpretation boards all over the site, there is a problem down that bridleway um, with um, antisocial behavior just, um, at the point where they would link the path into the bridleway um, it's used for Flytipping various things go on at that point.

00:19:52:29 - 00:20:26:24

It's the track just before the West Glen River. And if you open it up, bearing in mind it's supposed to be an area of biodiversity. Um, I would just bear in mind, um, the impact on that area and would suggest that if there are any benefits to the community, um, that we don't commercialize them. You

know, nature is nature and you, you enjoy it and experience it as you go. You don't need great big boards all over the place to tell you what you're looking at and what you're listening to.

00:20:27:06 - 00:20:35:26

Um, and if you do over commercialize it, then you're going to end up with, um, some perhaps undesirable outcomes.

00:20:37:27 - 00:20:38:12

Thank you.

00:20:41:12 - 00:20:42:15

Thank you, Mrs. Holloway.

00:20:44:03 - 00:20:47:15

Mr. Fox. It's a story, actually. Before we come back to you.

00:20:49:02 - 00:20:55:05

If you could take your point. And so perhaps Mr. Username, Mr. Fox could maybe address both. Okay. John Hughes, an interested party.

00:20:55:07 - 00:21:00:03

All I was going to say was with regards to the proposed footpaths, when you actually look at

00:21:02:06 - 00:21:39:13

one of them, its location is running up the side of the east coast main line. If you were walking from the footbridge over the railway line and connected to it on the left hand side of you, you would have the embankment of the East Coast main line on the right of you. You would have the solar farm with regards to the openness and attractiveness of actually walking that footpath. It wouldn't be something you would want to go and walk along because even if you were a trainspotter, you wouldn't actually be able to see a train because you'll be looking up the embankment.

00:21:40:26 - 00:21:53:19

So the benefits to it in saying for the local community, I think it's questionable of whether the community would actually benefit from them and find. Want to actually walk them.

00:21:55:24 - 00:21:58:02

That's as a local resident. Thank you.

00:21:59:18 - 00:22:02:05

Thank you, Mr. Yates. Mr. Fox?

00:22:02:09 - 00:22:34:21

Yes. The applicant points. Just to be clear, we're not saying that they're mitigation. It's enhancement. Second of all, the setbacks that we've put in for the for the scheme apply to permissive paths as much as possible rights of way. So it's 50m setback in terms of the built infrastructure. Um, interpretation boards. The length makes clear that they're only proposed at appropriate junctions of the right of way with the order limits, so they're not proposed all around the site.

00:22:35:07 - 00:23:04:13

Um, and um, I think that, um, terms of the West Glen River, um, the opening up of West Glen River was something that was constantly raised within the consultation feedback and was something that people actually liked when at stage two. So again, think people will say their feelings about these things, but we provided what we provided and um, they are to be provided.

00:23:06:21 - 00:23:18:13

Thank you, Mr. Fox. The interpretation board. What would they be interpreting? Would that be the solar farm or some of the ecological features that may be in the vicinity or both?

00:23:19:00 - 00:23:54:08

Yes. Thank you. So Ben Crete, landscape architect for the for the scheme. So the intention is very much not to over commercialize the site that is and agree fully with Mrs. Holloway on that point. Interpretation boards would be very much low key. They would cover a number of things depending on what what the area around was. So including renewable energy, but also the ecology of the area in terms of West Glen River, but also other areas that we have on site. So it could include a number of things beyond ecology, beyond post development and renewable energy.

00:23:54:19 - 00:24:12:08

And it again, that could be something that the community could have an input into the history of the area. There are lots of there are lots of things that that could go on the information boards as a way of understanding the area and in more detail.

00:24:12:28 - 00:24:28:17

Thank you. Perhaps no one's going to see much detail on the on the on the boards themselves. But just to clarify that, you mentioned the fact that perhaps the community could be involved in the detail of that. Just how would that come about? How would that be secured, managed, implemented, etcetera?

00:24:30:04 - 00:24:45:25

And the applicant, the under the requirement, the the LPA will be approving the details as part of approving the detailed. Um, and so in coming to the RPA, we can encourage them to discuss that with local community.

00:24:47:29 - 00:25:23:28

Thank you. Um, if there's no further questions on this, I'll move on to my next. My next question. Um. It is noted in the applicant's response to Rutland County Council's local impact reports and that they hope that the permissive past will contribute towards the Rutland. The round Rutland ride routes. However, these routes are still being developed and there are no details publicly available at the moment and I'm not quite sure on the extent to which Rutland County Council are behind these proposals.

00:25:24:01 - 00:25:39:00

Um, just a question for Rutland County Council, perhaps, Mr. Johnson, if this is a project you're aware of, and if so, are you able to comment on the extent to which the permissive pass could be of benefit to it?

00:25:40:21 - 00:25:47:24

Sir Justin Johnson Rutland County Council. Um, I can't give you the answer today, but I can get a response to the stage four.

00:25:48:14 - 00:25:52:00

Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Johnson. Mrs. Holloway.

00:25:54:02 - 00:26:12:15

Uh, Mrs. Holloway from Marlow Price Action Group. My partner previously worked on the Rutland Round, which is a fully established route and has been for some time. I don't see that it has any particular interrelationship with the development, to be honest.

00:26:13:22 - 00:26:18:19

Thank you, Mrs. Holloway. Um, Mr. Fox, would you like to comment on this point?

00:26:20:13 - 00:26:20:29

I'll bring in Mr..

00:26:25:14 - 00:26:54:16

Thanks Bank group for the applicant. It is something that we we looked into as a result of potential opportunities and that's a helpful clarification for Mrs.. Ms.. In terms of the potential opportunities for their sounds like they're limited, from my understanding specifically to the to the Rutland brand reps as say we are, we have taken into consideration the other local walking routes and, and provided connections where we can in those regards.

00:26:55:23 - 00:27:10:20

Okay. Thank you. So just to be clear, you agree that the projects. Um, or rather the proposed development in the permissive pass will not be of benefit to the Rutland round routes. That's not something that you now believe is a.

00:27:12:22 - 00:27:16:28

Yes, That's my understanding. On the basis of Mrs. Holloway's. Yeah.

00:27:17:04 - 00:27:18:29

Okay. Thank you. That's, that's useful.

00:27:22:14 - 00:27:23:12

Yes, Mrs. Smith.

00:27:24:28 - 00:28:03:01

Thank you, sir. Julie Smith, Rutland County Council Highways. I've just been having a quick refresher from comments made by the Public Rights of way officer for Rutland. His view on the permissive path. He also had concerns about the existing public rights of way being affected, but also in terms of the permissive path. He felt like the Mallard Plus Action group that it it didn't offer much benefit because you're presented with a wall of solar panels.

00:28:03:18 - 00:28:04:07

Thank you, sir.

00:28:06:14 - 00:28:07:08

Thank you, Mr. Smith.

00:28:08:03 - 00:28:23:05

Sorry, I'm just going to bring Mr. Cretin, because I do want to dispute this wall of solar panels suggestion in terms of the routing compared to where it is with the rest of the site. Um, the length of the permitted paths and how much actually isn't it? So they're essentially.

00:28:24:21 - 00:28:59:01

Thank you, Mr. Foxley. But you for the applicant? Yes, sir. Think we've committed past the key design consideration. And one of the key benefits that we believe the scheme can deliver and add real, real benefit. They've just to talk you through some of the principles. There's a 15 metre offset from the path that's either side. So potentially where where the paths do run through solar panels at either side, which think they do in one instance, possibly there is a wide corridor up to 30m more in terms of that that corridor would be created.

00:28:59:14 - 00:29:28:20

There is planting also proposed to that. So and again, I would refer you to photo montage in terms of the ability to be able to screen the view from these paths. And just the the the visual amenity of those, you can create an enjoyable route through planting and the design mitigation that design principles that we've taken for these paths.

00:29:30:12 - 00:29:37:01

Thank you. Just again, just be clear. So in terms of the the offsets and you're referred to 30m.

00:29:38:14 - 00:29:51:21

Bank of to the 15m either side of the of the path. So if panels are on one side so it would be a 50 metre offset with the other side open. Yeah. A total of 30 across.

00:29:51:29 - 00:29:55:17

Yes. Thank you. Thank you for that clarification, Mr. Hughes.

00:29:57:07 - 00:30:36:17

John Hughes, the interested party. Don't disagree with what the applicant is saying, but actually it's there's two footpaths. There's one that is actually west of the East Coast main line, which is the one which is regards to the the wetland area etcetera, which obviously Yes. Accept is open and probably would be a beneficial footpath that people probably would enjoy. But as my colleagues have said with regards to the protection of the current biodiversity there, yes, there is the risk that it could be harmed because more people are going down to the river, etcetera.

00:30:37:09 - 00:31:11:12

My response was to the actual footpath that's being proposed east of the East Coast mainline to where when you look at it, you walk up the side of the East Coast main line back towards the industrial estate and then obviously to your right is going to be the setback. But obviously you're at a low point, so everything is up above you. So you'll be looking back up to the solar arrays or the solar farm or.

00:31:11:15 - 00:31:13:26

Sorry, the. My apologies.

00:31:15:20 - 00:31:24:10

Thank you, Mr. Hughes. Could we please just clarify the extent to which topography is taken into account in terms of the offsets along the routes?

00:31:26:09 - 00:31:28:25

Thank you, sir. Thank you for the applicant.

00:31:30:16 - 00:32:10:10

In terms of topography, so the offset is 15m from either side, regardless of topography. So think whether it's a flat or tilting, there is that 15 meter car corridor. I wouldn't think. What wouldn't just dispute what Mr. Hughes is saying in terms of that section of looking up. Think there will be sections of the permitted parts where you will inevitably see see panels. That's not not what we're saying. But I would point out that that's a limited section. We're talking about 8.1km of new proposed MRT paths, and there will be a short, short sections where panels will be visible.

00:32:11:12 - 00:32:15:24

But that's not something that. Yeah would would deny that.

00:32:17:09 - 00:32:22:21

And the photo montage you refer to that's representative of that.

00:32:24:12 - 00:32:36:18

The photo montage is representative of 15m, offset from an existing right of way with proposed planting at both years zero and the year 15. So it gives an indication of the level of screening that could be achieved.

00:32:37:06 - 00:32:46:05

And is that forgive me, I can't picture it. Is that on a on a flat part of the site or what perspective does it give?

00:32:47:03 - 00:33:13:19

It's believe the land kind of falls away. So it's from the existing bridleway, the A1 one near to the west, Glen River, looking north westwards. So not not far in fact, from from where the the area that Mr. Hughes refers to. So it gives an indication of of looking across and down, essentially.

00:33:16:04 - 00:33:37:02

Sorry, John Hughes. Yes. If you were actually on the bridleway and actually looking back towards the current substation, you will see the actual land falls away down towards the East coast main line. And then the embankment is the East Coast main line. And this permissive footpath is this side of the

00:33:38:19 - 00:34:01:11

west side of the East Coast mainline. So it is actually at the bottom of that point. So to your left you've got the embankment of the East Coast main line. But then yes, to the right the land rises up and away and don't disagree. Yes, you would see certain solar arrays there, but to the whole of your left is just the embankment of the railway line.

00:34:02:24 - 00:34:19:12

Thank you. Yeah. Come visualize the reference now the biggest on screen. Thank you. That's helpful. Yes, that's. That's the one I was thinking of. Um. Okay. One final question for you, Mr. Holloway. That's okay.

00:34:20:10 - 00:34:57:12

Mrs. Holloway from Price Action Group think it's important to just clarify this point on photo montage. Appreciate that. It was probably taken in good faith, but it is in terms of when you talk about the nuances of where these very important and very few photo montages are taken, it's really important that they give a good representation. This one is on a dip as you're looking now. You're looking south down towards the railway line to viewpoint six A and six B This photo montage has come back about 50m, I think, but actually it's still very much in a dip.

00:34:57:14 - 00:35:28:12

So when you look back north and northwest, you can't see in this photo montage, it doesn't show you anything. But actually if you just move a little bit further north, you can see all the way across to Colbie Church, across to Witham on the Hill in the in the far north and northwest. So I think we just need to be very obviously on the site inspection. This will become apparent because we'll pass this point. So you'll be able to see for yourself, but just need to be careful with the illustrations.

00:35:28:14 - 00:35:29:00

Thank you.

00:35:29:08 - 00:35:39:24

Yes, thank you. So something to bear in mind with the site inspections. Think and just notice we have a handle online from Mrs. Christie, if you'd like to.

00:35:39:26 - 00:36:14:13

Sorry. It's sorry again. Um, Rusty, I'll keep you on your toes. Oh, really? Just a point, which I'm sure hasn't been missed, but, um, with regard to the planting, this is a very dry part of the world, and establishment is slow, so you really can't expect any of these plantings to be an effective screen for 15 to 20 years. Um, which is a long, you know, a large proportion of the life of the scheme.

00:36:14:15 - 00:36:36:21

Um, so that should be taken into account when considering benefit of, of these permissive paths and proposed planting. It's got to be of sufficient size and depth. Um, and even then the height that the trees will and hedgerows will reach, it's slow because it is such we are so such a dry part of the world.

00:36:40:00 - 00:37:06:17

Thank you, Mr. Grassley. Would like to briefly comment. We are going to revisit screening under discussion around the effects on users rights of way so we could possibly pick it up then unless there's something that will do that. Thank you. Okay. Um. If there are no further hands, then I shall move on to agenda item number 12. In combination and cumulative effects.

00:37:08:02 - 00:37:26:02

Item eight covers the methodology and can the applicant briefly outline which effects have been assessed in combination and also confirm whether or not nonsignificant effects have been considered as part of that?

00:37:29:07 - 00:37:32:18

I'll bring in Mr. Duncan from.

00:37:35:16 - 00:38:05:27

Thank you very much. Good morning, sir. Peter Donkin for the applicant. I led the so well. Pleased to talk to you about the methodology here. Just to say that we have two chapters that cover methodology as chapter two of the ES, which is at oh 32 and chapter 16, which is the interaction of effects at at oh 46. And just to confirm that, Chapter 16 does also cover effects that are not too significant and puts those into context.

00:38:10:27 - 00:38:14:22

So Chapter 16 does consider Nonsignificant effects in combination?

00:38:15:06 - 00:38:24:12

Yes, sir. Just add 16.4. Point two talks about effects interactions to be considered wherever the due adverse beneficial effects of at least slight or minor.

00:38:29:25 - 00:38:30:10

So pretty.

00:38:31:14 - 00:38:37:17

Thank you. If you could briefly summarize what those effects are, that would be helpful.

00:38:42:13 - 00:38:49:21

Um, can there in the table if we can share that or can talk through them briefly.

00:38:49:24 - 00:38:54:01

Just, just for context, I think that'd be helpful. Perhaps if you could have it on screen.

00:39:09:29 - 00:39:11:22

The chapter 16. Think.

00:39:22:13 - 00:39:23:00
Committed.

00:39:33:09 - 00:39:33:24
So.

00:39:47:19 - 00:40:20:01
Thank you. So this is table 16.1, which is contained within the Chapter 16. We have included in this chapter the effects interactions during the construction and decommissioning stages, which is the first few pages. And then the chapter moves on to the effects during operation as well. And you'll see in the columns that there is a residual significance of effect that has been determined through the process, through each technical topic. And those are split out into the two columns in the center there.

00:40:20:03 - 00:40:34:01
And then the final comment column on the right deals with the effect interactions, which looks at the combination of those effects together. Just to point out, there are no significant adverse effects that have been identified in combination.

00:40:34:29 - 00:40:36:07
So thank you for that.

00:40:38:09 - 00:41:17:19
We will be coming on to health and wellbeing separately shortly. But I guess at the moment we're just trying to consider the extent to which affects that may contribute towards health and wellbeing. Generally accommodation of visual noise and etcetera and the possible implications that may have in combination for the health and wellbeing of residents. Visitors use the rights of way. And guess we're just trying to establish to what extent the information provided helps to advise on that as a as an issue.

00:41:20:16 - 00:41:49:22
So Peter Donkin on behalf of the applicant. The methodology behind this assessment was set out in our scoping request and agreed through scoping with the Planning Inspectorate. That's all detailed as part of the examination library and as you'll see through through through this table, the effect interactions are set out. If we need to delve into detail on particular topics, might need to come back to you on that particular detail.

00:41:52:24 - 00:41:53:09
Um.

00:41:55:02 - 00:42:23:00
I think well appreciate in terms of the scoping think the need for a specific chapter on human health was scoped out. But at this stage but we're seeking to establish from the information provided in the that we have and how the various effects may combine to impact upon on human health, particularly health and wellbeing. If there's anything you can provide, just to clarify that in writing, that may be helpful if you can't do it at the moment.

00:42:23:03 - 00:42:39:06
So I would just point to just getting the reference. Sorry, but at our deadline three responses The table on air quality, noise and health. We did deal comprehensively with with this point

00:42:40:23 - 00:42:43:15
taking information from the various parts of the to do so.

00:42:49:08 - 00:43:01:17

Okay. Thank you. Mr. Fox said that that would be your response, would refer back to that deadline three submission and there'll be no further submission from yourselves on on this particular point.

00:43:01:25 - 00:43:13:07

Not at this time. So it's 325. Um, and also think some aspects of health are also covered in the other issues table which was at 336.

00:43:14:24 - 00:43:33:05

Um, we do have um any Evans who's director at Volterra who have been assisting in these health considerations, who he might be able to kind of briefly summarize what those written submissions considered.

00:43:34:20 - 00:43:45:04

And. I think we'll be able to pick that up in our own timing since we're just conscious at the time and what we have to get through and further regions, right. And so perhaps unless everybody's

00:43:46:24 - 00:43:50:18

got any further points to raise, I think we'll take that as read for the time being.

00:43:52:15 - 00:43:54:04

Thank you. Okay.

00:43:58:05 - 00:43:58:20

I'm.

00:44:00:16 - 00:44:30:20

Moving on then. To the effects on user user rights of way and plans have been submitted by the applicants. Deadline three, which is 3037 Appendix B, which were shared on screen yesterday, which quite helpfully illustrates various pull rights of way, but also local walking routes, including those identified in the world.

00:44:30:22 - 00:45:02:24

Walk's book also illustrates the rights of way which are adjacent on one side or both sides of the trees. Yes, that's that's the one. Thank you. Which is quite helpful. Plan to just illustrate where all these routes are in relation to the rights of way, etcetera, and believe it shows that real one would be the most affected with around 32% of the routes adjacent. Um. I'm not quite sure yet whether the.

00:45:03:16 - 00:45:16:14

Interested parties who have raised concerns, particularly around the impacts on. On the walking routes have been identified so far. Whether they've kind of jumped it to review this plan or have any comments on it at this stage.

00:45:19:28 - 00:45:20:22

Mrs. Holloway.

00:45:21:17 - 00:45:57:16

Mrs. Holloway for Marlow Past Action Group. It's not quite clear to me what the applicant is trying to demonstrate. What we we have tried to show through our representations is that there are a number of things that have attracted both the local people and some people off the site to the area, e.g. Will's walks of which they have put some of them on this map. But they are not definitive. People don't just stick religiously to a walk, they come to an area, they enjoy it and they then explore and go further.

00:45:57:20 - 00:46:29:04

So I think the argument I might have seen was suggesting, well, they're not you know, they're not within the site, so they're not relevant to something. Well, that's not always the case, for starters. But for second, people as they come along, they explore and they see what else is. If they've enjoyed the area, they see what else is there. So it expands that recreational amenity. So it has had quite a significant effect since Covid in particular and think nationally that's recognised across the country.

00:46:29:22 - 00:46:35:10

How much recreational amenity, how more important it's become. So thank you.

00:46:38:07 - 00:46:40:10

Thank you. Mr. Johnson? Yes? Would you like to come in?

00:46:40:12 - 00:47:10:18

Thank you. Justin Johnson Rutland County Council. I think the other thing that the plan shows and will respond in in written Rant, you said that focus is on the footpaths. Although if you're a resident of the villages in Essendon, you're not just necessarily focusing and sticking to the footpaths, you're also using the the highway network that's around there. And certainly in our representation we also picked up on cyclists as well who would almost definitely not be using the footpaths and would be using the highway network that's around there.

00:47:10:20 - 00:47:33:20

And again their enjoyment of it. And our, our main point is that as you if you do use those footpaths and the roads that as you're walking around or cycling around the the area, there are not many places where you won't be impacted on by the solar farm because of its scale in nature. Thank you.

00:47:34:14 - 00:47:46:08

Thank Mr. Johnson. You did note your representations that made that point around users also using highways as well for recreational purposes. So yes, noted. Um. Mr. Fox

00:47:48:09 - 00:47:48:24

to.

00:47:48:26 - 00:48:22:14

Please Mr. Fox can say think. We have always recognized that people take different routes. They're not going to necessarily use the formal route. And we had lots of internal discussions in the production of plans trying to guess what routes they might do between villages and thought that wasn't appropriate, which is why we produced the plan that's on screen. And also the second plan that was submitted, which entitled The Breach directly adjacent to solar sites, which incorporates roads and shows, um, which routes are and are not directly adjacent to the site on one side or not, and includes roads.

00:48:23:04 - 00:49:11:24

Um, the Atkins response to um submissions on public rights of way. Mr. Parks Rep 322 um, kind of sets out the, um, introduces those parts, explains their role, um, and also references these plans to the context of the points and the magnitude of scale of change that we've assessed and is, um, so maps them together to, to show you essentially that if you were going from viewpoint to viewpoint, this is the magnitude of the scale of change that you would be seeing to enable yourselves and everyone else to understand that journey and think that also you and you will have already seen this and you will see it on the site visit.

00:49:12:08 - 00:49:41:28

Um, that and we said this before on Tuesday and yesterday about the nature of the scheme being compartmentalized. Looking on the plan like this, it obviously looks like some massive big solar farm where everybody can see, but with existing vegetation, with what you can see from the Z TV and the information we've provided at nine three think it's clear that it's not the case that someone's journey from A to B would be entirely encompassed by views of the side of.

00:49:44:10 - 00:49:50:27

Thank you, Mr. Fox. Um, I think we'll move on to my next question. Um.

00:49:52:25 - 00:49:54:29

Planting trees.

00:49:56:19 - 00:50:37:27

Planting is proposed as screening, but this, in the view of some representations, may result in a corridor effects on some sections of public rights of way. The applicant's response to these written representations and local impact reports refers to this being a characteristic of the Stephen Uplands and Rutland Plateau Clay Woodlands landscape character areas sets out in the Rutland Character Assessment and the South Kesteven character assessment. Um, first of all, just like to hear the views of the local planning authorities on the extent to which they would agree that the channeling effect, so i.e.

00:50:37:29 - 00:50:45:04

corridors of hedgerows and planting is a characteristic of the area and how prevalent that may be.

00:50:48:18 - 00:51:30:27

Said Rutland County Counsel Justin Johnston. And I think you'll see from from your site visit that the nature as you walk around the site that there are elements where the site does all footpaths do have hedgerows adjacent to them. And I think what what you'll also get a feeling of appreciation for and as you can see from some of the photo montages as well, is that there are a number of and quite a number of areas where the proposed landscaping scheme does significantly enclose those footpaths and reduce the open nature of them.

00:51:31:06 - 00:52:00:11

At the moment there are some positions where you get quite a nice, um, view over open landscape with a wide open sky etcetera, which would be enclosed significantly and go back to the slide that was shown a minute ago, a viewpoint, a single photo montage. If you go through those, that clearly shows an example of the concern that the the council's got from what you see now to to what is proposed.

00:52:03:08 - 00:52:08:13

Thank you, Mr. Johnson. Mr. Jordan. Um, would you like to comment, please?

00:52:10:03 - 00:52:22:03

Phil Jordan. First, ask Steve in District Council. Um, not too much really, to add to the comments made by Mr. Johnson, except there are, you know, hedgerows within the.

00:52:22:12 - 00:52:23:02

Site as.

00:52:23:04 - 00:52:34:10

Existing. But likewise, there are vast areas from open landscape and undoubtedly. That that would be changed.

00:52:34:12 - 00:52:57:12

Through the fencing and the and the hedgerow mitigation that's proposed. I'm happy to provide further written comments on that point, specifically in the context of the landscape character. The assessment that's been referenced is that big a feature can do that for. The next deadline for.

00:52:59:07 - 00:53:03:28

That would be helpful. And I don't know if county Council could do something similar, please.

00:53:04:14 - 00:53:06:04

Yes. Just noting that the answer.

00:53:06:10 - 00:53:14:18

Thank you. Um, before I come back to Mr. Fox, are there any further comments from other interested parties on this particular point?

00:53:18:08 - 00:53:21:15

No. Okay. Mr. Fox, would you like to comment, please?

00:53:21:27 - 00:53:22:13

Mr. Peter.

00:53:23:10 - 00:54:01:21

Thank you, sir. Bank group for the applicant. Um, so I'd just very quickly refer you to our rep 3022 where we provide our response to issues of planting being in accordance with characters to studies. And also this well, the lands, the amenity and recreation and assessments are at 058 where we acknowledge there will be a change of character. But those impacts, post post mitigation or post post year 15 would not be significant.

00:54:01:23 - 00:54:03:12

So our position remains the same.

00:54:05:19 - 00:54:34:10

So just just to confirm there will be a change in character and to acknowledge that whilst there are some characteristics of identifying in the character documents that do indicate there is some prevalence of the channelling of hedgerows and the corridors of hedgerows, it's not, it's not wholesale. There are areas of open landscape as well. So there will be a degree of change that will affect people's experience using rights of way.

00:54:35:00 - 00:54:48:10

That's correct. So there will be that variety and also importantly, that that's that's not incongruent to existing rights of way in the area that are enclosed and provide that variety, which is an important part of any recreational experience of the area.

00:54:50:12 - 00:54:53:20

Thank you, Mr. Graetz. Yes, Mrs. Woolley.

00:54:55:04 - 00:55:37:23

Just a brief point and accept the points that have been made by the two county and district Council. But in answer to the applicant's point, what will be different is the height of the hedges. And so at the moment we have hedges which are appropriate to arable farming. And even over the time that we've lived in the area, those hedges have gradually got up as people have recognized the environmental benefits of hedgerows. But we're now talking about planting, which if I understand the proposals the applicant is bringing forward, are going to be significantly higher if they're going to have any meaningful shield effect on the

00:55:39:08 - 00:56:03:18

on the solar panel, the visibility of a certain panels, whether that be on right of way or on the road network. And that will materially change the the the visual landscape. And I think the question then is, is that in keeping or is that more in keeping with the Southwest rather than the open landscape that is more normal in Lincolnshire and Leicestershire and Rutland?

00:56:06:26 - 00:56:10:08

Thank you, Mrs. Willey. Mr. Fox. Mr. Cruz.

00:56:13:23 - 00:56:34:13

It's that. Thank you, sir. Ben Creek for the applicant. Think of a position set out in that rep. Three documents. But again, just make the point that existing public rights of way and bridleways, including the Millen way, which currently runs adjacent to the site in the South, is enclosed and vegetated.

00:56:37:19 - 00:56:50:20

Thank you, Mr. Crew. I think we'll move on to the next question. Interests of making sure we we can break for lunch at 1 p.m., which is what we're aiming for. Just a brief question in terms of

00:56:53:13 - 00:57:23:07

horse riding at. On the impacts of the proposed developments and the applicants. Deadline three response refers to the 15 metre offset which is proposed and and that that succeeds. Think a five metre offset, which is specified as preference and some guidance from the British Law Society and just confirm if that guidance has been submitted to the examination. And if not, can it be please.

00:57:26:07 - 00:57:29:26

I don't believe it has. And yes, we can. Thank you.

00:57:41:12 - 00:57:41:27

Okay.

00:57:44:05 - 00:58:15:25

Noting. The draft national policy statements. Ian three Particularly the new requirements or the requirements for a public right away management plan and the applicant's previous response on this, which is that you believe the sufficient information within existing documentation that would effectively serve the same purpose and. Perhaps it may be helpful, though, if that was pulled together into management plans to.

00:58:16:20 - 00:58:25:14

Clarify how the rights of way will be managed. Just bearing in mind the draft and what the expectations are in that.

00:58:25:16 - 00:58:26:01

So

00:58:27:13 - 00:58:59:05

admitted that this was an effort to try and save paper. The matters that are in the public rights of way are in one table in the camp. If we were to put them into a separate document, it would just be putting that one table with a bit more introductory introductory text into a separate document. And the security of the management of public railway during construction will be dealt with through the measures in the camp where the LPs will then be able to consider that alongside everything else at the same time. So do note that requirement.

00:58:59:16 - 00:59:11:09

And we did originally planned to include a separate table, but once we developed what the outline measures are, it soon became clear that it would be really quite pointless to essentially but one two page table into a separate document.

00:59:12:27 - 00:59:26:06

Point taken before coming to you. Mrs.. Hello. Would you like to see from the local planning authorities whether or not you believe there'd be any benefit in terms of ease of reference at a later date, perhaps to have that in a separate document?

00:59:28:25 - 00:59:29:23

Said Rutland.

00:59:30:11 - 00:59:57:28

Justin Johnson, Rutland County Council. Um, need to refresh myself of the actual document. Just trying to find it now, but um, my initial thoughts are provided. It is, is very clear he as long as it's clear in a document, I don't think it's essential that it's in a separate park. The main thing is that it can be easily found. Um, and the camp is an equally reasonable place to put it. Thank you.

00:59:59:00 - 01:00:00:19

Thank you, Mr. Johnson. Um.

01:00:03:19 - 01:00:05:21

Mr. Jordan, would you like to comment, please?

01:00:07:06 - 01:00:17:22

Phil Jordan for District Council. Likewise. I've got no strong views on whether it's better separate or in the same document as long as it can be referenced and found.

01:00:18:26 - 01:00:25:12

Thank you. And Lancashire County Council that have a particular view on this either way as well.

01:00:27:22 - 01:00:30:29

The more this you can counsel similar to colleagues, really, we

01:00:32:14 - 01:00:36:07

wouldn't be particularly concerned either way as long as it's clear signposted.

01:00:38:24 - 01:00:41:06

Thank you, Mr. Wallace. Mrs. Holloway.

01:00:42:13 - 01:01:28:06

Mrs. Holloway from a price action group. Um. If it were to be in one document and you're saying it's going to be in the cemetery, then can I ask that particular attention is paid to how you, um, link it in with the traffic management plan as well, because there are quite a lot of impacts on the public rights of way in terms of temporary closings. But also if you think about the users and I'm thinking now, particularly also of horse riders, there are significant implications of the construction programme on horse riders for their safety because wherever they go in the area, they're going to be confronted by construction work, whether it's on the roads or via the public rights of way.

01:01:28:08 - 01:01:37:28

So question mark is should it have its own document if that's the case, or can it be cross-referenced to the as well? Thank you.

01:01:39:12 - 01:01:40:12

Thank you. Mr.. Mr..

01:01:41:12 - 01:02:08:12

Just to make two points there. First of all, Article 15 of the which deals with the closures of the public right of way um is within that we can't actually use that power until we've had a city MP, um, approved. So think what we will do is look at the linkages between the camp and the TMP and make sure that we put text in to ensure there's consistent approaches across both documents.

01:02:10:09 - 01:02:11:12

Thank you, Mr. Fox.

01:02:13:08 - 01:02:24:11

See? No further hands. Shall move on to item C health and well-being, which we have touched on briefly already.

01:02:26:15 - 01:02:59:25

A note from footnote 130 of the current national policy statement in terms of its definition of green infrastructure. It recognizes the value of access to natural environment in terms of health and quality of life of sustainable communities. And the fact that the draft one also acknowledges the fact that sorry, three analogies that energy infrastructure has the potential to impact on on health and wellbeing.

01:03:00:14 - 01:03:11:15

And just like the applicants confirm their view on the extent to which they believe health and well-being matters are important and relevance to to this application.

01:03:15:25 - 01:03:23:00

Mr. Fox and half of the applicant in the context of that policy context then? Yes, it is It is a.

01:03:25:12 - 01:03:25:27

Be great.

01:03:30:24 - 01:03:38:09

Thank you, Mr. Fox. Do any of the other interested parties have a suspect? There'll be agreement.

01:03:40:07 - 01:03:43:22

Thank you. Think we'll take that as a as a given. And.

01:03:47:15 - 01:03:55:05

The applicant's response to mental health concerns that are raised in various written representations.

01:03:56:26 - 01:04:29:26

States that, based on the conclusions of the technical assessments in the environmental statements, and given that the community resource of the area of accessing the countryside will still be utilized. It is considered highly unlikely that the proposed development will result in significant effects on mental health outcomes and. Given some of the issues we've just discussed in terms of possible effects on rights of way significant or not. And whilst the countryside and the rights of way may still be able to be utilised to an extent, the rights of way will exist.

01:04:30:16 - 01:04:46:21

Um, is there an issue in terms of how effective they may be in supporting mental health, given that the experience will be different, there will be some noise. Do you think you're going to help define there will be a visual impact and so will they be as beneficial to maintaining and supporting people's mental health?

01:04:48:14 - 01:05:00:11

And Mr. Will will bring in first. First bring in. Uh, Miss Evans, who was going to bring it out here to touch on this initially, and then I'll come back.

01:05:04:08 - 01:05:05:27

Thank you Ali.

01:05:05:29 - 01:05:36:21

Evans, for the applicant. Um, in terms of how health has been addressed. You're obviously right in your reference to the different determinants that can impact both physical and mental health and of those access to and enjoyment of the open space. And therefore public rights of way are an important determinant in terms of therefore how that's considered through the assessment. Um, there are a number of different determinants of health.

01:05:36:26 - 01:06:22:06

Um, and they, they can only combine together to have a significant adverse effect if we believe there are going to be very significant adverse effects to all of those determinants. Obviously as we've discussed today, the, the one where there's probably the most debate and where the chapters do conclude some significant adverse effects are with respect to landscape and visual. Um, however, when we look at the extent of those effects, we have to consider from a health perspective how significant those are in terms of the proportion of a user's trip that they're making, the extent to which that would then have a long lasting and meaningful impact upon their health, both mental and physical.

01:06:22:16 - 01:06:55:07

And think in that context. It's in that context that the conclusions reached are that despite the fact there are some significant adverse effects identified within those technical chapters, they are relatively minimal in in the context of the wider determinants of health, and therefore it's unlikely that there would be significant health effects. One thing I would note on that, however, is that obviously when you assess impacts on health, you're looking at them at a reasonable receptor population level.

01:06:55:09 - 01:07:24:22

So by having that conclusion that you're not concluding that absolutely nobody would have some negative impact on their own enjoyment of that space. It's just whether that would then lead to a long lasting health impact outcome for those individuals and then for the receptor population as a whole. And the assessment, I think, is proportionate therefore, to the scale of the effects identified within those technical assessments and at a population level. Thank you.

01:07:27:12 - 01:07:28:01

Thank you.

01:07:30:11 - 01:07:39:10

Would anybody like to comment on that? Positions have been set out. Mrs. Holloway.

01:07:41:00 - 01:08:13:05

Mrs. Holloway from Pulse Action Group. Think you probably know what I'm going to say. Um, and that is obviously that we completely disagree as a community. As I say, I've spent nearly the last two years working amongst hundreds of people, talking to them through the issues, and this has had a

significant impact on them even before we have a judgement on whether it's going to proceed or not. And I do not think it can be underestimated how you assess what the impacts are in a national context.

01:08:13:07 - 01:08:40:13

I don't know. That's, that's that's obviously for yourselves will be reviewing that. But you know, we try to protect the NHS from unnecessary costs. It could be argued that the side effects and implications of this could be knock on costs for the NHS either through mental or physical health. And I'm sure we have examples where we can give you examples of that, but I won't do for today. Thank you.

01:08:42:16 - 01:08:49:16

In terms of examples, if you're able to submit those points of deadline for that, would be willing to reflect on those. Thank you.

01:08:51:06 - 01:08:53:13

Okay? Yes.

01:08:55:26 - 01:08:58:13

We can just brace from Wiltshire Parish Council

01:09:00:09 - 01:09:08:23

as Mallard Pass Action group say. I completely reject on behalf of the parishioners what's been said about

01:09:11:00 - 01:09:20:03

no significant effect on low impact on this throughout this whole examination and prior to this.

01:09:22:07 - 01:09:44:10

Parishioners have been coming up to me and just explaining their concerns, their issues, their worries, and it is affecting them. It's affecting them mentally. It's affecting them physically. And that's even before we get any sort of idea of whether this particular development will go ahead. Um.

01:09:46:14 - 01:10:17:04

The actual construction of the development, should it get the go ahead, is of immediate concern for my parishioners. And with regards to the traffic noise, the danger, the the disruption to their way of life, because for all of them, myself included, this this area of the area that we're discussing has a natural beauty.

01:10:17:06 - 01:11:02:12

It's a natural beauty that people enjoy. It's a natural beauty that people want to go out into. It's a natural beauty that gives pleasure not just to the people that live there, but the people who also visit and the the impact, the impact of this on the parishioners own mental health through the noise and disruption of construction. And when it's completed, should it be completed, the the noise pollution and light pollution that will be discharged from the development is going to be enormous because through all of this

01:11:03:28 - 01:11:39:07

situation, when you talk about light pollution and there will be um, and I've got it written down somewhere, um, multiple high level security lighting systems through throughout the development. And with regards to, to this, um, the amount of light, the light at night, at night, um, actually reduces people's levels of melatonin, resulting in sleep deprivation, fatigue, headache, stress, anxiety and other other health problems.

01:11:39:09 - 01:12:01:23

Now you, you couple that with the the noise pollution, the the hum, the consistent hum from the substations, from the containers with the inverters and everything else, this this amplifies those issues um, and into a concerning level level effect. Um.

01:12:03:12 - 01:12:04:02

The.

01:12:07:09 - 01:12:24:28

It's difficult to actually put into words the the the concerns and the the feelings that the parishioners have on this this particular development. And I do find it disingenuous that the um.

01:12:26:16 - 01:12:59:24

These issues concerning mental health, which can also lead on to physical issues as well. That's that's a medical, medical fact. Um, they're dismissed as unimportant and of no significant effect by the applicant. And I would urge the inspector to take this as a major consideration, a major consideration that people actually have with regard to this.

01:12:59:26 - 01:13:11:10

There's a fear factor to it. And that fear factor will manifest itself in a way that I actually do not want to see in the coming years. Thank you.

01:13:12:21 - 01:13:16:06

Thank you, Mr. Kentish. Mr. Burchill.

01:13:17:06 - 01:13:47:14

Trevor Barfield and Dying Parish Council. Um. In a applicant's response to your first written questions. It states blah blah, blah. Taking into account the applicant considers that the wellbeing and mental health of residents in the locality will not be affected by the proposed development. That's a very sad statement to write, right? This has affected the health and well-being of every resident.

01:13:47:16 - 01:13:48:01

In essence.

01:13:48:14 - 01:14:09:18

From minute one, from the first time we received the first document, either through our doors or by letter or any other way. I'm the chairman of US and I'm parish Council and have been the chairman of the parish council all through this event. Many people have spoken to me about raised anxiety levels.

01:14:10:23 - 01:14:12:04

Sleepless nights.

01:14:12:27 - 01:14:25:10

General health and wellbeing issues. And it's nice for Ms.. Evans to say that, you know, fundamentally on a national picture, right, there will be no real issue. Well, actually, we don't live.

01:14:25:12 - 01:14:26:26

In actually we live in SD.

01:14:27:16 - 01:14:33:12

There's 354 registered voters plus children in SD. Many of us choose.

01:14:33:14 - 01:14:33:29

To live in it.

01:14:34:01 - 01:14:38:00

And because of where it is and what it provides to us.

01:14:39:13 - 01:14:43:02

The open countryside. The neighbors we live with.

01:14:44:01 - 01:14:45:16

We don't live in cities.

01:14:45:18 - 01:14:46:17

Towns next to.

01:14:46:19 - 01:14:47:19

Motorways.

01:14:50:09 - 01:15:04:08

The applicant seems to give this scant regard for health and wellbeing. We all saw through Covid sorry, and heard through Covid it came to the fore.

01:15:06:10 - 01:15:07:28

How health and wellbeing.

01:15:08:05 - 01:15:09:08

Is now becoming.

01:15:09:10 - 01:15:11:11

A national issue.

01:15:11:28 - 01:15:13:02

For everybody.

01:15:13:24 - 01:15:20:10

The cannot currently cope with the requirement of the mental health requirements.

01:15:20:12 - 01:15:20:27

Of the.

01:15:20:29 - 01:15:31:14

Population. That's a fact. That's a fact. So should the secretary of state be mindful of approving this? Should he? I do request.

01:15:31:16 - 01:15:32:01

That there is a.

01:15:32:03 - 01:15:43:20

Requirement in there that the applicant provides some form of bond system process agreement that will provide medical assistance and attention.

01:15:43:22 - 01:15:44:10

On of a.

01:15:44:12 - 01:15:48:10

Mental requirement to the populations affected.

01:15:48:12 - 01:15:49:29

Not just in Bracebridge.

01:15:50:01 - 01:15:51:29

Woolsthorpe, Ryle, Castleton.

01:15:52:01 - 01:15:56:28

Great Castleton, little Castleton, etcetera. Colby and any other person affected.

01:15:58:01 - 01:15:59:15

But I'm not sure if that's.

01:15:59:17 - 01:16:00:06

Within his gift.

01:16:00:08 - 01:16:01:00

To give.

01:16:01:12 - 01:16:02:04

Right. But on a.

01:16:02:06 - 01:16:03:18

£250.

01:16:03:20 - 01:16:11:02

Million development, I'm sure they can find a few hundred thousand to support the health and well-being of the people.

01:16:12:27 - 01:16:13:28

I could go on.

01:16:14:13 - 01:16:15:12

I could go on right.

01:16:15:14 - 01:16:16:09

Through lunchtime.

01:16:16:11 - 01:16:18:25

But can see your hand near the button, so I'll stop.

01:16:21:03 - 01:16:35:15

Thank you, Mr. Bayfield. Just conscious of the time. We are approaching 1 p.m. and there are still little bits of the agenda to get through. And Mr. Grayson can see your hand. Do you have a point to raise on this that hasn't already been mentioned so far?

01:16:36:21 - 01:17:06:29

Yes, I think so. But I'll be brief because I'm hungry as well. Um. First of all, the applicant just now the speak of the applicant referred to um, the effect being relatively limited because these the footpaths or

whatever would only affect be effective for a proportion of someone's user's trip. I don't think that's the case. Um, to do a bike ride quite regularly, which goes across country.

01:17:07:01 - 01:17:39:12

It's 11.1 miles and, um, for 8.25 of those miles, it would be through or adjacent to the proposed scheme and the panel. The development would actually be visible for the whole of that trip by one mile. So it's hardly a proportion of the trip. It's virtually all of the trip. And then second point is need to be taken into the effect needs to be taken into account.

01:17:39:14 - 01:18:10:08

The cumulative effect needs to be taken into account because it's not just going for a walk and seeing panels for half of that walk or whatever it may be, but we're living in amongst these panels and. We cannot escape. We will not be able to escape the development. So as the previous speaker said, there is noise, there's visual effects which would be constant. And so though we go for a walk and made a bit of it, maybe down by a river or something.

01:18:10:21 - 01:18:43:06

We're not we will not be able to escape the development. So need to take into account the the cumulative effect, not just the effect on a single walk. Just when you drive down the road, you're going to be seeing this industrial landscape. So the effect on our wellbeing, mental wellbeing or otherwise is not just with regard to recreational walking or riding or whatever the case may be, but it's a constant factor throughout the for the lives of people living in the area.

01:18:44:11 - 01:18:57:28

Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Grassley and Mr. Fox. Again, just conscious of time, if there are any points there that you don't feel as you've already addressed previously on this matter. And if you could perhaps just.

01:18:58:00 - 01:19:32:18

Yes, great. Very briefly, just wanted to clarify. So on the light, we won't have constant lighting. And we've been clear that the lighting is, is, um, sensor based. And so only when someone passes by it. Um, secondly, in terms of noise, um, we've said at the point yesterday but think it's worth people looking at appendix 10.5 of the ES, which refers to the levels at residential properties and is in the low double figures, which in the context of the conversations yesterday about what that actually means in this particular account.

01:19:32:29 - 01:20:03:18

Um, and I would note that um, at night, um, obviously there is no sun, so the operation of the infrastructure, to the extent that it is operating would be very low. Um, think I would just make the point that, um, obviously we've touched on the fact that there's only part of one route you mentioned earlier the, and the wanting to keep access to green infrastructure. We are not changing the access to green infrastructure and indeed we're enhancing it through the permissive paths.

01:20:04:08 - 01:20:24:25

Um, and so I think it's people's appreciation of, of that work is obviously, um, the view that they will have. But we are maintaining the access that is not changing. So people are still able to go for the walks that they need to go on for their health. And um, yes, obviously the applicant is um.

01:20:27:04 - 01:20:42:10

Recognises that the anxiety that people have and you know, once the scheme is developed, we've assessed the impacts and we think that they are not significant and we don't just mean that in terms of environmental terms, but we do mean that generally.

01:20:45:07 - 01:20:57:04

Yes. And I would make the point in mental health, one of the reasons that we're where we're here is because of the climate change and the worries about retirement change have impacted mental health as well.

01:20:59:15 - 01:21:00:00

I

01:21:01:11 - 01:21:02:25

think we'll move on.

01:21:04:19 - 01:21:31:21

We have some other projects and developments on the agenda. However, given the time and the nature of the questions that have, I think it's probably appropriate that they are left to second written questions. So we'll pick those up in writing in the not too distant future, and that moves us on to agenda item 13. Then in relation to the accompanied site inspection arrangements, and I'll hand over to my colleague, Mr. Smith. Mr. Cliff.

01:21:35:17 - 01:22:03:06

Thank you for the site visit arrangements. This is for the 17th of the 18th of August. This is the accompanied site inspection. We have a draft itinerary submitted by the applicant. Thank you for that. And we also have comments from parties on that submitted to deadline three, including the one from Mallard Price Action Group. So thank you for those and

01:22:04:25 - 01:22:35:14

has the applicant. Where are we now in terms of with the draft itinerary? Because I think there's also been a couple of other late suggestions for site inspections that have been added in at deadline three, which think we've chosen to publish. So the applicants got those in. At least one of those has the draft itinerary that was submitted at deadline two. Has that been updated at all? No. You're probably waiting for us examining authority to say to you which ones we want to go to see and which ones we might not want to go and see and which are the ones we want to go and see. Are you.

01:22:35:16 - 01:22:38:12

Is there a is there an updated draft, the one you think supplied?

01:22:39:21 - 01:22:44:09

Well, that's interesting question because think it depends which day you're talking about. So in terms of day one, okay.

01:22:44:11 - 01:22:52:06

We'll do let's do date because they want they want is the sort of the public rights of way public vantage points. And day two is private properties effectively so.

01:22:52:08 - 01:22:56:06

So on day one we felt that.

01:22:57:26 - 01:23:28:15

Well, maybe we weren't anticipating changing that because we felt that we obviously nodded the comments that had been received. Um, we feel like the the views, the viewpoints we've already suggested for that route kind of cover that off. Um, I think if think we would welcome that if the examining authority considers there are some views that we haven't put on that if you can make a procedural decision to that effect, um, then we can look and think that's what we're hoping to get after today is because the timetable doesn't specifically ask us to submit an updated one.

01:23:28:19 - 01:23:29:23

No, it doesn't know.

01:23:29:25 - 01:23:38:02

So if you wanted to make a procedural decision off the back of reps, we can then submit an updated one if that would assist. So that kind of day one.

01:23:38:22 - 01:23:47:19

Okay. But is there any in terms of the deadline two, one. Has that been there's no update to that provided as yet. So that's not included. There's a couple of extra private properties or at least one.

01:23:48:07 - 01:23:53:13

Was going to come to day two on the private properties. I think it's a separate point. What? Yesterday? Today one at the moment.

01:23:53:26 - 01:23:56:01

Sorry. Yeah. So go do do day two then. It's a.

01:23:56:03 - 01:24:26:21

Day two. So following, um, it's kind of been an ongoing process, including helpful discussions with Mrs. Holloway in terms of trying to get essentially trying to get hold of people for them to agree that they would welcome you coming to the property. We have at the moment think a list of 12 properties who've given explicitly given their consent. We are slightly concerned that going beyond 12 is probably not feasible in a day.

01:24:27:14 - 01:25:07:26

Um, so again, suppose if you if you didn't want to make a decision to say what's your update on on day two? Um, or we could do that a deadline for we could do that. Um, I think we were just cognizant, particularly with data with both days, but data in particular of the kind of practical realities of if we're going to go to 12 different people's houses. Um, I would imagine those people would not welcome a bus for the people coming. Um, and so I think we well hoping part of the discussion today, um, would help us to kind of go back to them and say thank you.

01:25:08:03 - 01:25:28:11

Here's what we're thinking in terms of the amount of people who will come. Um, we are seeking to book minibuses for both days so we can do that to move people around, even if it's a smaller number of people on the second day. Um, so I think we were hoping for a little guidance to help us kind of finalise the position I.

01:25:28:25 - 01:26:00:29

Think for day two for the private properties. I think you're right. Numbers going into people's houses should be as low as possible. Um. I mean, on the one hand, we could just do it as a access required site visit and they give us access to go in there. We have a look at the views ourselves. That's that's the the that's what happens is lots and lots of planning, inquiries and appeals and what have you that happens. We just go in and the owner lets us in. We're not actually accompanied by anybody at the most. Think there should be? Be the two of us.

01:26:01:01 - 01:26:25:26

For those other people can wait outside. But in terms of going on the properties at the most, I think it should be two other people, one from the applicant and one nominee from, I don't know, one of the councils or action group. I guess just in case there's any view, any points that want to be pointed out,

what there can't be during the site visit will be discussion of merits, absolutely no discussion of merits at the site visit, but things can be pointed out.

01:26:25:28 - 01:26:28:11

So I think we would agree with that.

01:26:28:29 - 01:26:55:12

So one, so for the private properties, one person from the applicant may if people wait outside the gate, etcetera, but one person for the applicant and one person from the whoever it is anyway, I'm happy for Mrs. Holloway, the council, to decide who that will, who that will be. But think that's fair for the people around the properties to don't want loads of people sort of traipse around that the house and and.

01:26:55:22 - 01:26:56:07

Just on.

01:26:56:26 - 01:27:26:26

And think for the second day think because of that it's probably little value actually in lots of people joining us otherwise we'll just be sitting on the minibus not doing very much. So it's not a particular benefit as is examining authority. I've said this before to have lots of people following us around on the site inspection. We know the level of interest in the local population. My favorite size inspections when actually go myself with two people pointing things out. But you know, we don't we don't need lots of people around us. It slows us down. It just makes it actually more more difficult.

01:27:26:28 - 01:27:45:05

So know there's an urge lots of people to come along, but it's you know, it's more the key representatives. Having said that, there are think 13, 13 people who said that they would like to attend the site inspection from the from the responses we receive at deadline too. So it's not so that's.

01:27:45:08 - 01:27:46:01

Think think we can.

01:27:46:03 - 01:27:48:14

Use that arrangement. So that's helpful or not. Yes.

01:27:49:02 - 01:27:50:16

Day one we can use that.

01:27:50:18 - 01:28:03:03

To and obviously they're welcome to come along. But there's no there's no description of merit. So it's not quite what some people think at the site visit when they come to us and talk about merits. It's not like that. This is Holloway You do have a.

01:28:08:27 - 01:28:41:04

Mrs. Holloway from the Past Action Group. Um, referring to Rep 3041. Um, the original list of properties. There were nine properties. Um, I don't know if they were suggested or requested because obviously there's a difference between members of the public putting themselves forward on those ones or whether they were chosen by the applicant that I'm not sure, but we submitted a further seven properties. So obviously there's a disconnect in the numbers, maybe more strategic.

01:28:41:08 - 01:28:55:22

Certainly the seven we presented got permission from all seven of those, so there was no issue whatsoever. But if there is a limit, call at 1213, then maybe we should strategically look at what are the most important.

01:28:55:24 - 01:29:27:26

Absolutely. I mean, it is useful for us to go to see residential properties, think represented residential properties. So there are two that are very close to each other and I'm not sure we need to go and see them both. We need to see a good representation of views from residential properties. So perhaps if that list, even between the, you know, you can have talks to get that list down to a manageable level that we can complete in one day starting at 9:09 a.m.. Uh, that would be a way forward. I think we've sort of see a good representation of residential properties.

01:29:27:29 - 01:29:33:07

I think that's what I would say on that. So perhaps that's something that with the councils as well.

01:29:33:11 - 01:29:41:22

So think we have an updated that actually went out to 15, which I think is essentially our nine plus six of the seven that was suggested.

01:29:42:00 - 01:30:09:09

Nine plus. Well that might be well if you could share that with Mrs. Holloway and I think the council's as well, because obviously you could share that and then get everyone's views on that. But it may be that, you know, suspect we'd be happy with that if it's a representation that's been agreed to get a good cross-section. The only other property I was I don't think it appears on the list. The band Fort Lodge. Is it on? Is he has that been. Can we get access to that? Right. Okay, fine.

01:30:09:11 - 01:30:40:24

That's fine. Um, what? Would you mind? Um, our colleagues here in the corner have been organizing that, and we'll ask them to speak with Steven and the council this afternoon, since we're all here to. To try and agree what that list should be, we will then need to go back to the residence just to confirm it. Think what we're going to have to do, though, is because each resident didn't we wouldn't want them to have to stay at home all day if that wasn't their plan. So I think we will have to essentially. Taking how to travel come up with kind of specific slots.

01:30:40:26 - 01:31:10:13

Think we would ask you to do that again in liaison, possibly with action groups in terms of distances to travel and things like that. But I'm sure it can be done with a slightly sort of conservative estimate. But also we're going to be going to the properties, going in, looking at views. So we're not going to be spending. Don't expect we'd need to spend more than ten minutes. Yeah, absolutely. It is property because we you know, we do this all the time. So we're not we know what we're going to have to look at and want to look at. So we don't need spending too long in each thing. I'm not sure people wanted in that too long.

01:31:10:16 - 01:31:13:03

Think we just need to balance with when they're available. Yes.

01:31:13:15 - 01:31:17:18

Absolutely. That might take some. Yeah, absolutely. That might be a bit of.

01:31:17:20 - 01:31:34:25

A take a bit of time to to get it finalized. But think if you could think if we can say that by deadline five. The problem depends on people responding to us. But by deadline five, we will try and submit a more detailed data. Here's the agreed list and times.

01:31:34:27 - 01:31:56:06

What we will do in the meantime. Think in terms of day one is we'll consider the ones your draft, the responses that we've got, and consider whether or not we want to add any further in or possibly takes them away. Because some we've already done and we'll probably be doing again and then we'll we'll we'll make a procedural decision or whatever we need to do.

01:31:56:09 - 01:31:56:27

That would be helpful.

01:31:56:29 - 01:32:27:13

Thank you. Make sure you have that information. We're also going to be doing a further unaccompanied sight inspection, uh, later on in the examination. It'll be it'll be probably September, October time, which will be unaccompanied. Think that one as well as maybe just checking some things. We want to just recheck having read more representations that come in between now and then. And obviously we've had the hearings. We'll also do some serious walking think because what don't expect when we go on the first day is for us all to be walking up and down the footpath. So we've done some already.

01:32:27:15 - 01:32:43:20

We've done actually quite a few, but think we'll want to walk full, full footpaths and we've had some suggestions from the Action group in that regard. So think we're going to have, uh, yeah, at least a couple of days of walking various footpath routes which we can do ourselves and.

01:32:43:28 - 01:32:48:28

Can check that. Sorry about that. Are you happy that we look to book minibus with day one?

01:32:50:01 - 01:32:50:22

I think that's fine.

01:32:50:24 - 01:32:51:13

Yeah, to me too.

01:32:51:22 - 01:33:07:27

Yeah. Don't want to stop people. I mean, my comment about too many people is just in terms of what we know, people have got a right to come along to a site inspection. So yeah, but we don't, you know, it's not helpful to have lots and lots of people. So I would urge people to sort of work out who they want to go to be a representative, whichever organisation. This is Holloway. Yeah.

01:33:08:01 - 01:33:26:15

Mrs. Holloway from Marlow Past Action Group. Just to confirm that Essendon Village Hall has offered their facilities for parking and obviously toilet facilities potentially as well. It may make it easier because obviously if you've got a day out at some point in time, people are going to need probably just the offer is.

01:33:26:22 - 01:33:38:12

There is that side as well actually, isn't there, in terms of public conveniences and getting lunch throughout the day that doesn't need to be taken account of a serious point on the on the on the on the both days actually. Yeah.

01:33:38:22 - 01:33:49:25

So but we would ask that the decision isn't left too long because obviously it is a facility that's booked and used and you know, they wouldn't want to hold it if you weren't going to use it.

01:33:49:27 - 01:34:02:27

Think for lunch. Probably best people probably taking pack lunches now. When did my visit find a nice little shop in was it in rival so good sandwiches but I'm not sure we could all descend on them although they might like that. I don't know. But that's just we have.

01:34:03:01 - 01:34:17:23

Think think that will be sensible. Think on the parking obviously we will consider that think we'd the starting point that we have suggested on on Park Farm which is one of the landowners involved in the scheme has said that we're able to park there and that seems a good starting point.

01:34:18:26 - 01:34:36:12

We don't mind as long as it's safe and there's room for everyone to park. So we've not got really any preference. I'd like to go to that farm actually, because think even though it's not a necessarily a a place that's being suggested itself, it would be quite interesting just to sort of have a look at the site from from around there. So I'm very happy. Still to go there.

01:34:37:10 - 01:34:58:12

Yes. Think on the toilet. Sorry. But on the toilet position, I think what it would be good is if while we may not park at the village hall, if the village hall, given that it's kind of central to the visit, if if we are able to use it as to kind of toilet place where we have a scheduled break for people to go to the toilet, I'll let.

01:34:58:14 - 01:35:11:10

You sort of sort out those arrangements and say we're not as long as it's safe and where where we meet up is there. I'm not overly overly concerned about that. Um, okay. Is that everything on the site inspection?

01:35:12:26 - 01:35:20:20

Okay. Do we briefly want to go for action points before we break for lunch? I think we probably should or shouldn't we? Mr. James, do you want to do that?

01:35:20:24 - 01:35:21:20

Yes.

01:35:25:02 - 01:35:26:23

From brief. So

01:35:28:27 - 01:35:43:19

on my list for the applicants drafting alternative requirements that the state may choose to apply if deemed necessary by them. Regarding the to the archaeological discussion this morning and the deadline for.

01:35:45:15 - 01:35:46:01

Um.

01:35:46:11 - 01:35:58:04

Second one position statements and the approach to the archaeological evaluation from the applicant's Lancashire County Council and Rutland County Council. Again, deadline for a thank.

01:36:01:12 - 01:36:01:27

Um.

01:36:02:06 - 01:36:16:16

From the applicants. An outline written scheme of investigation to be submitted as soon as possible for comments from interested parties. Um, didn't get a specific deadline for that, but if you could comment, that's all.

01:36:16:26 - 01:36:28:24

I think think we it definitely won't be of a deadline for um so think if you put that like five down then um obviously as per the discussion it will, it will partly depend on discussions.

01:36:29:08 - 01:36:47:09

Thank you. Um, then updated positions as set out in updated steps. Common grounds regarding principal areas of disagreement on archaeology game between the applicant and Lancashire County Council. Um, a deadline five down for that.

01:36:50:12 - 01:37:00:06

And then moving on to our most recent discussions. Consideration of further wording in the old lamp on permissive paths. The applicant.

01:37:02:18 - 01:37:04:06

No deadline for that was up for or.

01:37:04:11 - 01:37:07:21

That's for because it just be a sentence in the.

01:37:12:13 - 01:37:22:25

Details of annoy levels at put rights away and permissive paths again from the applicants. Again no deadline specified. Don't think for

01:37:25:03 - 01:37:31:12

further details on the information interpretation boards discussion on that. Was that for again.

01:37:32:18 - 01:37:42:28

And well think on that. We wouldn't be providing that now because it will depend on it will be. I think the point I was trying to make is it would be approved as pursuant to the detailed lengths.

01:37:43:05 - 01:37:55:20

Yeah, it wasn't the details. What would be on the board to think it was the process by which that would be discussed and by which the community will be engaged on the detail of it. I've got an action point down for that. Is that not your.

01:37:55:24 - 01:37:59:03

Yes. Well, think what we'll do there is look to update the column to cover that point.

01:37:59:05 - 01:37:59:20

Okay.

01:38:00:22 - 01:38:01:22

Deadline for.

01:38:04:18 - 01:38:05:03

Um.

01:38:05:13 - 01:38:19:16

Then an action for South Kesteven District Council and Rutland County Council. Further comments on the effects of proposed planting adjacent to rights away. This is in relation to the landscape character areas. Deadline for.

01:38:23:26 - 01:38:36:28

And the ability to consider the relationship we've seen at camp and the outline construction traffic management plan in relation to for management deadline for.

01:38:39:18 - 01:38:40:03

And.

01:38:41:12 - 01:39:03:29

One of the last action group examples of health implications that you referred to. But just please bear in mind data protection requirements there in terms of sharing information that may be personal. If there's any personal information amongst that, please do refrain from sharing that possible and without possible deadline for.

01:39:06:14 - 01:39:10:00

Which 20th of July think I'm away.

01:39:10:29 - 01:39:15:14

So it would be helpful if it were deadline five. But it. Okay.

01:39:15:22 - 01:39:16:08

Thank you.

01:39:17:28 - 01:39:18:13

Um.

01:39:19:22 - 01:39:20:25

And then finally.

01:39:23:18 - 01:39:30:07

I think there was an action for the applicant around the details of the site inspections to follow up in terms of the rate schedule data.

01:39:30:25 - 01:39:31:10

Yeah.

01:39:35:21 - 01:39:47:26

Sorry, just in that line on that. I think that will possibly need to be between nine four and five because we'll be. Well, she will say that 95. I think that's all in advance. In advance before the actual date.

01:39:48:00 - 01:39:55:19

If it can be sent in before we can publish it, in that case before the actual deadline, because it's through the site inspection. So I think we'd be able to publish that.

01:39:56:03 - 01:39:56:29

Do it as soon as we can.

01:39:57:16 - 01:39:58:01

Yeah.

01:39:59:08 - 01:40:06:03

Thank you. That concludes my list. I don't know if you have any further actions that you noted. Okay.

01:40:07:12 - 01:40:08:01

So we just agree to.

01:40:08:03 - 01:40:17:10

Start time for this afternoon because we need to start the hearing at 2:00, which is 40 minutes away. Is that okay?

01:40:17:15 - 01:40:20:03

I think we'd welcome because it's not to get through. Yes. Is everyone.

01:40:20:05 - 01:40:24:13

Okay? 2:00 start time. Okay. We'll keep that at 2:00.

01:40:26:21 - 01:40:58:11

So just to formally close, if you've spoken today, it would assist us if you could please provide a written version of your submissions by deadline for which is 20th of July. The recording of this hearing will be published on our website as soon as possible after the hearing, and just wish to thank everyone today for their contributions, which will assist us in writing a recommendation to the Secretary of State. And again, as you just mentioned, a reminder that we have the issue specific here in three on the consent order, which will commence at 2 p.m.. The time is now.

01:40:58:13 - 01:41:02:17

121 and this issue specific hearing to is closed. Thank you.